



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,381	11/02/2005	Isao Miyadai	K0600.0213/P213	9515

24998 7590 02/12/2008
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403

EXAMINER

ALLEN, NICHOLAS E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2169	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

49

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/555,381	Applicant(s) MIYADAI ET AL.	
	Examiner NICHOLAS ALLEN	Art Unit 2169	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE (3) MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/02/05 and 04/27/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objection

Claims 6-8, 25 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Construction

35 U.S.C 112, 6th Paragraph

With respect to Claim 28 the "means for" limitations do meet the three-prong test requirement for invoking 112, 6th paragraph. The "means for storing definition data", "means for storing a report", "means for selecting", and means for generating are described in the specification as a host computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9-24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 9-24, 26 and 28 are directed towards a program. It is non-statutory since a program is not any of a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter.

Computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer

components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffman et al (US 20030220806).

The Hoffman et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 1 by having a method of generating user-compatible business application data comprising the steps of:

entering an input report (See Page 4 Paragraph 56), which is accompanied by *data* [time-related data (Due Date Report Module 230, See Page 6 Paragraph 73), together with an identification code (unique identifier, See Page 4 Paragraph 56) thereof to a generating system, said input report including data regarding one or a plurality of items (Report Access Module 210, See Page 5 Paragraph 68)]¹ and having a format suitable for expressing results of user activities (open activities report module, See Page 6 Paragraph 76);

storing the entered input report together with the identification code thereof in a database of said generating system in order to make said input report available for use in one or a plurality of application systems (See Page 5 Paragraph 56); and

generating a folder, which includes data required by said application system in a format required by said application system, at a given timing prior to start of business processing in said application system, by selection or manipulation of the input report (See Page 7 Paragraph 86) that has been stored in said database and of data contained in said input report without executing said business processing, and delivering the generated folder to said application system (See Pages 3 and 4 Paragraph 50).

The Hoffman et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 2 by having the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of generating said input folder by

¹ Applicant's claims have been fully considered, however, for the reasons noted above the nonfunctional descriptive material recited therein is not being given any patentable weight. For the purpose of applying prior art the nonfunctional descriptive material has been enclosed in square brackets; if necessary for understanding, the meaning as construed has been shown in italics.

Art Unit: 2169

selection or manipulation of an input report (See Page 7 Paragraph 86), which has been stored in said database, and of data contained in said input report in accordance with a folder generating definition (forms, See Page 7 Paragraph 88) that specifies how said folder is generated from said input report.

The Hoffman et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 3 by having the method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of: selecting an input report to be used in generating said folder from among input reports that have been stored in said database (See Page 5 Paragraph 66); and generating said input folder by selection or manipulation of data contained in the selected input report in accordance with a folder generating definition (forms, See Page 7 Paragraph 88) that specifies how said folder is generated from said input report.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al (US 5608874).

The Ogawa et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 10 by having a system for generating user-compatible business application data, comprising: input report accepting means for accepting entry of an input report, which is accompanied by *data* [time-related data, together with an identification code thereof], the input report including data regarding one or a plurality of items and having a format suitable for expressing results of user activities (Subscriber Section 30, See Column 9 Lines 38-

50); database control means for controlling a database so as to store the input report, which has been accepted by said input report accepting means, together with the identification code thereof in said database in order to make the input report available for use in one or a plurality of application systems (Subscriber Section 30, See Column 8 Lines 28-39); and folder generating/delivering means for generating a folder, which includes data required by the application system in a format required by the application system, at a given timing prior to start of business processing in the application system, by selection or manipulation of an input report that has been stored in the database and of data contained in the input report without executing the business processing, and delivering the generated folder to the application system (Subscriber Section 30, See Column 9 Lines 7-22).

Claims 9 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (US 5848426).

The Wang et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 9 by having a program for generating user-compatible business application data, comprising computer code for implementing the steps of:

accepting entry of an input report (electronic verification process, See Column 8 Lines 6-20), which is accompanied by time-related data (time stamp), together with input of an identification code (field identifiers, See Column 8 Lines 63-67 and Column 9 Lines 1) thereof, to a generating system, said input report including data

regarding one or a plurality of items and having a format suitable for expressing results of user activities (predetermined data fields, Column 9 Lines 1-5); storing the entered input report together with the identification code thereof in a database (database server 6, See Fig. 1) of said generating system in order to make said input report available for use in one or a plurality of application systems (See Column 6 Lines 19-26); and controlling said generating system so as to generate a folder (translation table 38, See Fig. 12), which includes data required by said application system in a format required by said application system, at a given timing prior to start of business processing in said application system, by selection or manipulation of an input report that has been stored in said database and of data contained in said input report without executing said business processing, and deliver the generated folder to said application system (See Column 6 Lines 19-26).

The Wang et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 28 by having a system for generating user-compatible business application data, comprising:
definition data storage means for storing definition data that includes, with regard to a folder to be applied to an application system, a folder data-item definition that specifies a data item contained in the folder, a report selecting definition that specifies an interval of a report to be used in creating a folder, type of report to be used in creating a folder and a report data item that is to be included in a report to be used in creating a folder, and a folder data generating definition that specifies how

data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a report (Host computer, See Fig. 1);

report storage means for storing a report having time data, a report-type code and data of one or a plurality of report data items (Host computer, See Fig. 1);

report selecting means for selecting, from among reports stored in said report

storage means, a report, which is in line with at least any one condition, from among a report in line with a condition that time data falls within an interval specified by the report selecting definition, a report in line with a condition that the report possesses a report-type code of a type specified by the report selecting definition, and a report in line with a condition that the report possesses data of a report data item specified by the report selecting definition (Host computer, See Fig. 1);

and folder data generating means for generating data of a data item of a folder, which has been specified by the folder data-item definition, in accordance with the folder data generating definition based upon data relating to the report by said report selecting means (Host computer, See Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et al (US 20030220806) in view of Chen et al. (US 6470170).

With respect to claim 4, Hoffman et al. does not teach the method including a report interval definition that specifies the interval of a report to be used in generating said folder, based upon time-related data accompanying an input report that has been stored in said database. However, Chen et al. teaches using variable time intervals and storing time-related data in a report (See Column 5 Lines 57-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hoffman's method to include an interval report interval definition as taught by Chen. This would have allowed folders to be automatically generated that have time intervals such as payroll.

Claims 5/4/3, and 5/3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et al (US 20030220806) and Chen et al. (US 6470170) in further view of Ogawa et al (US 5608874).

The above method teaches the limitation of claim 4. With respect to claim 5/4/3, the above reference does not teach an identification code that identifies the type of report or a report-type definition.

However, the Ogawa et al. reference teaches the identification code of said input report includes a code that identifies the type of input report (See Column 15 Lines 59-65);

and said report selecting definition includes a report-type definition that specifies the type of a report to be used in generating a folder (Step 360, See Fig. 6A-1), and an input report of the type to be used in generating said folder is selected, based upon the identification code of the input report that has been stored in said database, in accordance with said report-type definition (Subscriber Translation Information 312, See Column 16 Lines 19-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Hoffman and Chen to include a code that identifies a report-type definition. These identifiers would have allowed the reports to be quickly reused in the event that different types of folder need to be generated.

5/3 is a subset of claim 5/4/3.

Claims 11, 12, 13/11, 13/12, 18/11, 18/12, 19/11, 19/12, 20/11, 20/12, 23, 24, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5848426) and Hoffman et al. (20030220806).

With respect to claims 11, 12, and 27, the Wang et al. reference teaches a program for generating user-compatible business application data, comprising:
a definition accepting program for controlling a computer so as to accept, and store in definition data storage means with regard to a folder (translation table 38, See Fig.

12) to be applied to an application system (See Column 2 Lines 63-67), a folder data-item definition (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49) that specifies a data item contained in the folder and folder data generating definition that specifies how data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. and Column 11 Lines 35-56).

Wang et al. does not teach a report accepting program for controlling the computer so as to accept, and store in report storage means, a report having data. Wang et al also does not teach a report accepting program, a report selecting program, or a folder data generating program for controlling the computer based upon data.

However, Hoffman et al. teaches a report module 200 that includes Report Access Module 210 (See Fig. 2 and Page 5 Paragraphs 66 and 67) that allows access to other modules. Hoffman et al. also teaches a folder module, which includes data required by said application system in a format (forms, See Page 7 Paragraph 88) required by said application system, at a given timing prior to start of business processing in said application system, by selection or manipulation of the input report that has been stored in said database (See Page 7 Paragraph 86) and of data contained in said input report without executing said business processing, and delivering the generated folder to said application system (See Pages 3 and 4 Paragraph 50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang's method to utilize a report accepting program, a report selecting program and a folder generating program.

This would have given a user control to prioritize the documents that were being processed as well as account for error being found in the initial reports. This would give the data a central location for faster retrieval by the application system requiring the information.

With respect to claim 27, it is also inherent for the software to be recorded on some medium in order for it to function.

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11 and 12. With respect to claim 13/11 and 13/12, Wang et al. also teaches a report (Data File, See Fig. 16) that includes a data value (Relationship File, See Fig. 18) in line with at least one condition (Step 52, 66, and 76, See Fig. 15).

The above method teaches the limitation of claim 11 and 12. With respect to claim 18/11 and 18/12, the Wang et al. reference teaches the program, wherein said folder data-item definition specifies a plurality of data items included on one folder (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49); and said folder data generating definition specifies how each item of data of the plurality of data items included in said one folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. 18 and Column 11 Lines 35-56).

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11 and 12. With respect to claim 19/11 and 19/12, the Wang et al. reference teaches the program, wherein said folder data-item definition specifies a plurality of data items included on one folder (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49);

and said folder data generating definition specifies how each item of data of the plurality of data items included in said one folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. 18 and Column 11 Lines 35-56).

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11 and 12. With respect to claim 20/11 and 20/12, the Wang et al. reference teaches the program, wherein said folder data-item definition specifies a plurality of data items included on one folder (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49);

and said folder data generating definition specifies how each item of data of the plurality of data items included in said one folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. 18 and Column 11 Lines 35-56).

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11. With respect to claim 23, the above method does not teach a report input deadline definition or a report requesting program for determining if a report has been stored by a deadline and outputting when it has not.

However Hoffman et al. teaches a folder module which stores deadlines (See Page 7 Paragraph 90) and a dues date module which generates reports based on activity (See Page 6 Paragraph 73).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method to include a report input deadline definition and determining whether a report has been stored by a specified deadline. This would allow the program to inform the user of a report that may require their input for completion if a due date has passed.

The above method teaches the limitation of claim 11. With respect to claim 24, Wang et al teaches the program, wherein said definition accepting program controls the computer so as to further store, in said definition data storage means, data [a folder data-item definition, report selecting definition and folder data generating definition with regard to each folder of a plurality of types] (See Column 3 Lines 46-52).

With respect to claims 29, the Wang et al. reference teaches a method of generating user-compatible business application data, comprising the steps of:
providing definition data storage means and report storage means, said definition data storage means storing, with regard to a folder to be applied to an application system, definition data that includes a folder data-item definition (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49) that specifies a data item contained in the

folder, and a folder data generating definition that specifies how data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. and Column 11 Lines 35-56);

and said report storage means storing a report having time data, a report-type code (See Column 5 Lines 64-67 and Column 6 Lines 1-7) and data of one or a plurality of report data items and generating data of a data item of a folder, which has been specified by the folder data-item definition, in accordance with the folder data generating definition, based upon data relating to the selected report (Step 78, See Fig 15). Wang et al also teaches a report selecting definition that specifies an interval of a report to be used in creating a folder, type of report to be used in creating a folder and a report data item that is to be included in a report to be used in creating a folder (See Column 3 Lines 66-67 and Column 4 Lines 1-5).

Wang et al does not teach selecting, from among reports stored in said report storage means, a report, which is in line with at least any one condition, from among a report in line with *data* [a condition that time data falls within an interval specified by the report selecting definition, a report in line with a condition that the report possesses a report-type code of a type specified by the report selecting definition, and a report in line with a condition that the report possesses data of a report data item specified by the report selecting definition].

However, Hoffman et al. teaches selecting, from among reports stored in said report storage means, a report, which is in line with at least any one condition, from among a report in line with data (Report Access Module 210, See Page 5 Paragraph 68).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang's method to include a report selecting definition and selecting a report that was in line with data. This would have allowed a user to choose from a plurality of different reports to be used by the various application systems.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5848426) and Hoffman et al. (US 20030220806) in further view of Williams (US 5600554).

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11 and 12. With respect to claim 14/11 and 14/12, Williams teaches a report (Personal Action Form 1300, See Fig. 13) in line with data (Product Data 830, Time & Attendance Menu 1400, System Codes Menu 1600, See Figs. 8, 14, and 16) that is selected (Step 660, 665, and 675, See Fig. 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang's method to include a report in line with data. This would allow for reports to produce different types of folders for varying applications.

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 13. With respect to claim 15, Williams also teaches a report (Personal Action Form 1300, See Fig. 13) in line with

data (Product Data 830, Time & Attendance Menu 1400, System Codes Menu 1600, See Figs. 8, 14, and 16) that is selected (Step 660, 665, and 675, See Fig. 6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang's method to include a report in line with data. This would allow for reports to produce different types of folders for varying applications.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 5848426) in view of Chen et al. (US 6470170).

The Wang et al. reference teaches a definition accepting program for controlling a computer so as to: accept input of the following definitions from an input unit, with regard to a folder to be applied to an application system:

a folder data-item definition that specifies a data item contained in the folder (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49);

and a folder data generating definition that specifies how data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a report (Relationship file, See Fig. 18 and Column 11 Lines 35-56);

and store the accepted folder data-item definition, report selecting definition and folder data generating defining in definition data storage means (See Column 3 Lines 66-67 and Column 4 Lines 1-5). Wang et al. also teaches indexed types of report to be used in creating a folder (See Column 6 Lines 2-7). Wang et al. does

not teach a report selecting definition that specifies an interval of a report to be used in creating a folder and a report data item that is to be included in a report to be used in creating a folder.

However, Chen et al. teaches using variable time intervals and storing time-related data in a report (See Column 5 Lines 56-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang et al.'s method to include a report selecting definition. This would have allowed the generation of folders pertaining to systems that are time sensitive (i.e. payroll).

Claims 17 and 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 5848426) and Williams (US 5600554) in further view of Ogawa et al. (US 5608874).

The Wang et al. reference teaches the limitation of claim 17 by having a program for generating user-compatible business application data, comprising:
a definition accepting program for controlling a computer so as to accept, and store in definition data storage means with regard to a folder (translation table 38, See Fig. 12) to be applied to an application system (See Column 2 Lines 63-67), a folder data-item definition (field definition file, See Fig. 17 and Column 7 Lines 43-49) that specifies a data item contained in the folder and folder data generating definition that specifies how data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a

report (Relationship file, See Fig. 18 and Column 11 Lines 35-56). Wang et al. does not teach a report accepting program for controlling the computer so as to accept, and store in report storage means, a report having data.

However, William teaches a method for securing and manipulating employee payroll and human resource information that includes a report accepting program (Step 665, See Fig.6 and Column 7 Lines 60-63) and a report selecting program (Audit Trail Component 320, See Column 6 Lines 53-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wang et al.'s method to utilize a report accepting program and report selecting program. This would have given a user control to prioritize the documents that were being processed as well as account for error being found in the initial reports. This would give the data a central location for faster retrieval by the application system requiring the information.

The teachings of Wang and Williams do not teach a folder data generating program for controlling the computer based upon data.

However, Ogawa et al. teaches generating a folder, which includes data required by said application system in a format required by said application system, at a given timing prior to start of business processing in said application system, by selection or manipulation of the input report that has been stored in said database and of data contained in said input report without executing said business processing (Step 630, See Fig. 9), and delivering the generated folder to said application system (Step 650, See Fig. 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Wang and Williams to include a folder generating program. This would allow for the report information to be used with any of a plurality of different applications.

The above method teaches the limitation of claim 17. With respect to claim 26, Wang et al teaches the program according to claim 17, wherein said report selecting program and said folder data generating program control a computer having: definition data storage means for storing, with regard to a folder to be applied to an application system, said folder data-item definition that specifies a data item included in the folder (Host computer, See Fig. 1);

said report selecting definition that specifies an interval of a report to be used in creating the folder, type of report to be used in creating the folder, a report data item that is to be included in a report to be used in creating the folder, and a data value to be included in a report used in creating the folder (See Column 3 Lines 66-67 and Column 4 Lines 1-5);

and said folder data generating definition that specifies how data of a data item of a folder is generated from data relating to a report (See Column 3 Lines 66-67 and Column 4 Lines 1-5);

and report storage means for storing a report having time data, a report-type code and data of one or a plurality of report data items (Host computer, See Fig. 1).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5848426) and Hoffman et al. (20030220806) in view of Duckeck et al. (US 5065452).

The above method teaches the limitation of claims 11 and 12. With respect to claim 21, the method does not teach a definition that specifies that the number of reports selected is data of a data item of a folder.

However, Duckeck et al. teaches storing the actual number of reports received and evaluated in memory (See column 4 Lines 52-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method to include said definition. This would allow the generation of a folder containing a condensed report falling within a user's define parameters.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5848426) and Hoffman et al. (US 20030220806) in further view of Bakuya et al. (US 5680614).

The above method teaches the limitation of claim 11. With respect to claim 22, the above method does not teach a folder-data generating trigger definition that specifies timing in an application system.

However, Bakuya teaches a trigger definition that comprises trigger activation timing to indicate when the trigger is to be made (to specify before or after the addition,

replacement or deletion of a record to the table) (See Column 1 Lines 52-55). This is then followed by resource manipulation means (See Column 6 Lines 20-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method to store a folder-data generating triggering definition that specifies timing for different programs. This would allow data to be automatically generated at a predetermined time interval.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICHOLAS ALLEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3562. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from the hours of 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Robertson can be reached on 571-272-4186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2169

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. E. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 2169
12/31/2007


JAMES F. TRIVELLO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 2169